THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 17609 of 2007

Dated: 20.08.2007

Between:

T. Venkateswarlu.

.... PETITIONER

AND

The APSRTC, rep. by its Regional Manager,
Guntur Region, Guntur, Guntur District and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 17609 of 2007

Order:

Questioning the proceedings dated 08.10.2003 of respondent No.2, insofar as reducing the petitioner's pay by two incremental stages for a period of two years with cumulative effect and treating the period of suspension as not on duty, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Even though the petitioner sought to declare the proceedings dated 08.10.2003 of respondent No.2 as illegal and arbitrary, when the matter is taken up for admission,

it is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that as against the punishment order dated 08.10.2003, passed by respondent No.2, the petitioner filed a review petition before respondent No.1 in the month of December 2003, and as no orders thereon had been passed, the petitioner again filed a representation dated 15.04.2007 requesting respondent No.1 to set aside the punishment order dated 08.10.2003 passed by respondent No.2, but till date respondent No.1 neither considered the review petition of the petitioner nor passed any orders.

On the other hand, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-APSRTC submits that though the petitioner contends that he filed a review petition before respondent No.1 in the month of December 2003, there is no mention about the same in the representation dated 15.04.2007 filed by the petitioner.

However, both the counsel agreed to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the representation of the

petitioner dated 15.04.2007.

Since the representation of the petitioner, dated 15.04.2007, seeking to set aside the punishment order dated 08.10.2003 passed by respondent No.2, is said to be pending consideration before respondent No.1, and in view of the agreed stand taken by both the counsel, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following direction:

Respondent No.1, namely the Regional Manager, APSRTC, Guntur Region, Guntur, is directed to consider the representation dated 15.04.2007 of the petitioner, and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law, expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 20.08.2007
Nsr